

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/559,636	BHAT ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sean R. McGarry	1635	

All Participants:

(1) Sean R. McGarry.

(2) Charles Cohen.

Date of Interview: 13 January 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

72

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

It was discussed the reinsertion of the term "SEQ ID NO:" before the number 81 in claim 72. The amendment is of formal nature and is to better describe the claimed invention.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Sean R McGarry/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1635

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)